SYNTHESES, STRUCTURES, AND PROPERTIES OF DIPYRIDAZIND-FUBED 1,3,4,6-TETRAAZAPENTALENES*

DAVID E. PEREIRA, ANDREJ PETRIC.** AND NELSON J. LEONARD*

Roger Adams Laboratory, School of Chemical Sciences, University of Illinois, 1209 West California Street, Urbana, Illinois 61801-3731

(Recebrd fn USA 7 Ckvober 1987)

Abstract 8 **The fluorescent 2~9-dichlotoC2'~3':l'r2'3imidaroC4',S':4,S3imid~totl,2-elpyridazine t2r) and the unsubstituted "parent." 2b have been synthesized.** The chloro groups of 2a were found to be easily displaced by a variety of nucle**ophilee to provide the 2,9-disubstituted compounds 7-12. A single crystal X-ray structure determination of the 2,9-dimethoxy-substituted compound 7 revealed** the **existence of two crystallographically independent structures in the unit cell. It** has **been demonstrated that 2b can form a 2:l complex with cobalt(II).**

Dipyrido[1,2-a:2',1'-f]-1,3,4,6-tetraazapentalene (1) is a new heterocyclic **ring system that has been prepared in this Laboratory.i-+ The main features of** this compound are the 1,3,4,6-tetraazapentalene central ring array and the "bay region" formed by the four rings of the system. Replacement of the carbons at **positions 1 and 10 with nitroqcns would provide a "bay region" with greater electron density. Due to the lone-pair electrons of the 1,lO nitrogens, the dlpyridazlnoC2,3-ar3' r2' -fl-1,3,4,6-tctraarapentalrnes 2a and bs may act as** hidentate ligands for the coordination of metals in much the same way as **1.10-phenanthroline.6-10 Furthermore, substituents at positions 2 and 9 which have lone-pair electrons may provide additional sites for metal chelation.**

Cur initial strategy for the synthesis of 2a and 2b was based on the methodology (the formation of a "dimer" from twa equivalents of the heterocyclic **amine followed by an oxidative cyclitation to** produce the target compound) **developed for the preparation of a** number of substituted pyrido[t'r2*:l*,2'3 **imidaroC4' ,5* :4151imidazoLlr2-~lpyridines (I).*-3** Attempts *to* **prepare the "d imcrs" Sa and 5b (Scheme 1) from two equivalents of 3-amino-&chloropyridazine 13a)** or 3-aminopyridazine (3b) and chloroketene diethyl acetal (4)^{1,3} in an

***This srticlr is dedicated to Edward C. Taylor, Professor of Orqanic Chemistry, Princeton University, on the** occasion of **his sixty-fifth** birthbey.

61000 tjubljana, Yugoslavia.

acetic acid-pyridine solution resulted in the formation of 6-chloro-3-ethoxyimidazof1,2-blpyridazine (6a) and 3-ethoxyimidazof1,2-blpyridazine (6b), respectively, as the only isolable products. However, the "dimers" 5a and 5b could be obtained by the treatment of 4 with one equivalent of either 3a or 3b in ethyl acetate to give a chloroimidate intermediate which was then allowed to react with a second equivalent of the amine (Scheme I). The course of the reaction was highly dependent upon the starting amine. The "dimerization" reaction between 3a and 4 provided 6-chloro-N-(6-chloro-3-pyridazinyl)imidazof1,2-blpyridazin-2-amine (5a) in a 50% yield (70% based on unrecovered 3a). Also isolated from the reaction mixture was 6-chloro-2-ethoxyimidazo[1,2-b]pyridazine (64) (6%) and the hydrochloride salt of 3a. By contrast, the yield of 50 from the reaction between 3b and 4 was only 8%, and 6b was isolated in a 14% yield. An unstable product that was not readily identified was also isolated from the reaction mixture.

Scheme I

a. EtOAc. 75 °C; b. 3a or b. DMF. 75 °C; c. PhI(DAc)2. CF; CH2OH; d. H2/Pd/ CaCO₃, DMF, methyl cellosolve; e, NaOBn, BnOH, 80 °C; f, 48% HBr, reflux; g, NaOMe, MeOH, reflux; h, NaN;, DMF, 125-130 °C; i, H2, Pd/C, methyl cellosolve; is NaH4.

3150

The great difference in yields between S& and Sb reflect the different reactivities that are exhibited by 3a and 3b. Yoneda and co-workers¹¹ have shown that direct reaction between 3a and phenacyl bromide gave 2-phenyl-6chloroimidazo[1,2-b]pyridazine. Under the same conditions, 3b gave 1-phenacyl-**6-aminopyridazinium bromide. not the expected 2-phmylimidaroClr2-b_3pyridarine, by nucleophllic attack by N-2 of ab on phenacyl bromide. Obviously, the chloro** group of 3a has a moderating effect on the nucleophilicity of 2-aminopyrida**zone. By analogy, the unstable product** i6Olated from the **reaction of 3b with 4 may have resulted from a similar nucleophilic attack by N-2 of 3b on 4; 6uCh an intermediate could not undergo further reaction to give sb.**

The dipyridazinoC2,3-&:3' r2'-f3-l,3,4r6-tetrarrapentalcnes (Pa,b) **were obtained by an oxidative cyclization of 56 or sb with iodobenzene diacetatel-3 In 2,2,?-trifluoroethanol in reasonable yields (59 and 42x, respectively). The low yield of 5b necessitated the preparation of 2b by an alternative** pathway. This was accomplished by **dechlorination of** 2a **by means of catalytic hydrogenation usinq Pd on C&O, as the catalyst.**

Since 2a can be viewed as two fused 6-chloroimidazo(1,2-b)pyridazines that **share carbons 2 and 3, we expected that 26 would undergo nucleophilic displacement reactions similar to those observed with 6-chloroimidatotl,2-b3pyrida**zine.¹¹ ¹⁷ Generally, this was found to be true. Treatment of 2a with **sodium methoxide resulted In the displacement of both chloro groups to give 7 in** 78% yield. As with 6-chloroimidazoL1,2-blpyridazine, direct displacement of the chloro group of 2a with liquid ammonia failed to give 9.¹² However, the diamine 9 was obtained by the conversion of 2a to the diazide 8 with sodium azide in DMF, followed by the reduction of the azide groups to amino groups by cata-1 **yt ic Iiydroqenat ton. The dihydrazino** derivative **10** could be **prepared in a 92% yield by treatment of 26 with anhydrous hydrazine** at room temperature.

Although the nucleophilic displacement *of* **the chloro qroup of 3-substituted-A-chloroimidazoC1,2-b_3pyrldazinss occurs &t 140 OC with** NaOH **rn EtOH,ls 2a** failed to give the dihydrosy compound 12 under these conditions; only a di**ethoxy-substituted compound could be isolated. We hoped to prepare 12 by the introduction of benzyloxy groups at positions 2 and 9 followed** by **the removal of the benzyl qroups. Compound 11 was** easily prepared by the **displacement of the chloro groups with sodium benzylate. Catalytic hydrogenation of 11 using Pd on carbon resulted in the** disappearance of **11 from the reaction mixture (TLC); however. I2 could not be separated from the catalyst due to its extreme insolubility. Compound 12 was successfully Isolated in a 64% yield by heating 11 in 48% HBr and converting the resulting hydrobromide salt into the free base. In the** solid state, 12 exists in the hydroxy form, as is shown by the absence of a car**bony1 stretching band in the 1750-1600 cm-1 region. The qeneral insolubility of** this compound precluded an examination of tautomeric forms in solution.

All of the compounds are fluorescent, although the quantum yields vary. Compounds 2b **and 7 have the highest quantum yields (0.63 and 0.69, respectively) followed by 26 (0.331,** in **which heavy-atom quenching exerts its effect. Compounds 9, 10,** and 12, **all of which are potential proton donors. have low** *quantum* yields **(0.19, 0.06, and 0.06, respectively) and exhibit two emrssion maxima.**

Crystals of compound 7 suitable for a single crystal X-ray structure determination were obtained by the slow evaporation of a methanolic solution of 7.

The crystalm turned opaque **if** l **lloued to dry; accordingly, a crystal was mounted in a thin-walled tapered glass capillary without drying. The 6ingle cry6tal X-ray analysi6~J shoned the preaencc of two crystallographically independent molecule6 of 7 (7r and 7b, Figure 1) and the presence of one methanol molecule for every two molecules of 7. Roleculc 78 is dimsymmetric about the Sa-lla bond, whereas** 7b **i6 symmetric. The bond distances in the outer rings of 7a and** 7b are virtually identical and exhibit alternating single and double bond character whereas large differences exist between the bond distances of the 1,3,4,6**tetraarapentalene ring syrtems (rings B and C) of 7a and 7b wxth bond6 4a-5,** 4a-12, and Sa-11a showing the greatest variance in length. The distortion from **symmetry that is observed in 7a may be due to the hydrogen-bonding between N-5 and the methanol that Is dispersed throughout the unit cell. Because of the disorder of the methanol molecules in the unit cell, the hydrogen-bonding pattern could not be confirmed by X-ray analysis. A similar phenomenon wa5 observed with dipyridoC1,2-&:2' 1' -cl-1,3,4,&tetraatapentalene rl), where dissymmetry** was **induced by hydrogen-bonding between** a **molecule** of **water** and **the** lone-pair **electrons of the nitrogen at position 5.2**

7a

7Ь

Fi9ure 1. ORTEP drawings of the "top" and "side" view of 7 with bond lengths in angstrom6 as determined by X-ray analysis.

The X-ray structure analysis of 7 also revealed that both 7a and 7b are **non-p 1** anar . **The outer ring6 of 78 and 7b are virtually planar tall dihedral** angles are \pm 1° or \pm 179°), whereas the two five-membered rings of **7a** and **7b** are non-planar. The dihedral angles formed by the atoms of these rings deviate from planarity by 3-9°.

Figures 2 and 3 show the ORTEP drawing6 and the bond lengths for 7 and zb derived by the MMPMI¹ energy minimization program. The theoretically deter**mined bond lengths obtained for 7 are close to,those of the X-ray-determined values of the symmetrical 7b (Figure 1). The MHPMI-minimized structure of 2b has bond lengths that** are **virtually identical to those obtained for 7b** and **the energy-minimized structure of 7. The energy-minimized structure of 7 ir non-planar, but in contrast to the X-ray-determined structure** the two five**membered ring6 are planar and the two six-membered rings** are **non-planar. Con-** **vctsely, the four rings of the HHPMI energy-minimized structure of eb arc planar (all dihedral angles arc 0 or lEOa).**

Flgurm 2. **MMPMI-derived ORTEP drawings of the "top" and "side" view of 7.** Figutm 3. **MMPMI-derived ORTEP drawings of the "top" and "side" view of 2b.**

The distance between the 1,10 nitrogen atoms of 7a and 7b is shorter (3.52 A **and 3.54 4, respectively)** than **that predicted by MMPMI (3.82 AI. This difference can be attributed to the larger value (133*) that MMPMI generates for the** angle formed by atoms 1-12-11a (10-11-11a), which is 128.5° and 127.0° as deter**mined by X-ray analysis. Therefore, the distance between nitrogen atoms 1 and 10 of 2b derived by MMPMI is orohahlv larger than the actual distance.**

13

I,lO-Phenanthroline (13) IS an excellent brdentate Ilqand, due to the nitrogen atoms at positions 1 and 10, and can form either 2:l or 3:l complexes with Co(II), Zn(II), Fe(II), and Ru(II).⁶⁻¹⁰ Since 2b and 13 have similar **"bay regions", 2b would also be expected to act as a bidentate ligand. The combination of hot ethanolic solutions of 2b and cobalt(II) chloride hexahydrate produced a blue precipitate when the startinq stoichiometric ratio was either 2:l or 3tl. Elemental microanalysis showed that the blue compound produced in** either case was a 2:1 complex ([2b]₂CoCl₂). A 3:1 complex could not be pre**pared even with continued heating of the initial reaction mixture containing excess Eb.**

A further comparison of the structures of 2b (Figure 3) and 13 reveals that the distance between the nitrogen atoms at positions 1 and 10 of 2b is longer

than the distance betneen fhc nitrogen **atoms of 19 (3.78 and 2.02, respective ly). However, the distance between the nitrogen atoms at positions 5 and 6 of** 2b (2.54 A) **is only slightly shorter than the inter-nitroqen distance of 13. Positions 5 and 6 of 2b** have a **slightly greater electron density than positions 1 and 10 as predicted by a Hijckel molecular orbital calculation. which raised the possibility that N-5 and N-6 might participate in the chelation of** cobalt(II). For this reason, an ethanolic solution of compound 1, in which onl **N-5(61 can chelatr** was **treated with an ethanalic solution of cobalt(Il) chloride hexahydrate. A blue precipitate formed immediately which by elemental microanalysis was shown to be a 2:l complex. Therefore.** we **do not yet know** which nitrogen atoms of 2b are involved in the coordination of the cobalt atom. Compounds 9 and 10 also gave cobalt complexes of unknown composition under sim lar reaction conditions. The coordination chemistry of 1, 2b, 9, and 10 is **under further investigation.**

EXPERIMENTAL

<u>Instrumentation</u>. Melting points were determined on a Büchi melting poi apparatus and **are uncorrected** 'H Nut 1 **ear magnetic resonantie (NMR) spectra (300.15 MH7) were recorded on** a **General El** ectr 1 c OF-300 **Fourier -transform spec** trom**et**er using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 7199 Fourier-transform spectrophotometer. Ultravio**let/visible spectra were obtained on a Beckman Acta MVI spertrophotometsr. Fluorescence excitation and emission spectra were recorded on** a **Spex Fluoroloq 1llC spertrofluorometer coupled with** a **Datamate microprocessor. All excilatlons were conducted at 366 nm and all quantum yields are relative to the reported value of coumarin, 8 = 0.64 at A'" s 364 nml** 7 1. Mass **spectra were** obtained on d **Varian MAT CH-5 instrument in the** Mass **Spectrometry Laboratory, School of Chemical Science5. Elemental analyses were performed by Josef Nemeth and his staff at the University of Illinois.**

h-Chlorg-N-(b-Chloro-3-pyridazinyl)imidazoCl,2-blpyridazin-2-amine (5a) and b-Chloro-2-ethoxyimidazo[1,2-blpyridazine (6a). 3-Amino-6-chloropyridazine **(3a)lS (13.0 q. 100 mmol) and chloroketene diethyl acetal (4) (15.0 q* 100 rnmjll)** were added to 600 mL of EtOAc and heated at 75 °C for 30 min. A serond equiva**lent of 31 (13.0 q, LOO mmol) in 75 mL of DMF** was **then added in one portion to the reaction solution, and the solution** was **heated an additronal ?O h at 75 "c. The reaction mixture** was **cooled in** an ice **bath, and the solid** was **collected by** filtration. The solid was suspended in 100 mL of MeOH, heated to boiling and **filtered** hot **to give 12.9 g of 5a after drying. The filtrates were combined and evaporated to dryness. The reslrlting solid was washed with 50 mL of hot MeOH, from which 1.1 CJ of 51 was obtalned** after **filtration of the mixture.** The filtrate was evaporated to dryness, **and the residue** was **extracted with petroleum** ether (2 **x 50 mL). The combined extracts were reduced in volume to qivp 1. 1 y nf** 6a. The residue that remained from the petroleum ether extracts was suspended in H₂O, neutralized with NaHCO₃, heated to boiling and filtered hot. Upon cooling, 7.5 g of 3a crystallized from the filtrate. Total yield of 5a was 14.0 g (50%; 70% based upon unrecovered 3a), mp > 260 °C. 1H-NMR ((CD₁)₁SO) 6 **10.59 (5, 1, N-H), 8.44 (5, 1, H-3). El.06 (d, J = 9.3** HI. Il. 7.69 tdr J = 9.3 HZ, l), 7.47 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, I), 7.34 cd. J = 9.3 Hz, 1). F I-Mass **spectrum** (70 **eV**) m/r (rel intensity): 284 (11), 282 (64), 280 (100, M+), 254 (18), 252 (26), **219 (161, ,217 (29). UV &n," nm (c x 103. Lmol-3 cm-1): cEt0l-I) 385** (br) (14.2). 260 **(sh)** (19.7). 255 (20.41, 220 **tsh) (20.4), 215 (2l.7). Calcd for C~OHINIC~:,:**

Dipyridazino-fused 1,3,4,6-tetraazapentalenes

C, 42.73; H, 2.15; N, 29.90. Found: C, 42.73; H, 2.38; N, 29.50.

The yield of 6a was 1.1 g (6%), mp 113-116 °C. 1H-NMR (CDCl3) 8 7.62 (d, J_4 , τ = 9.0 Hz, 1, H-8), 7.32 (s, 1, H-3), 6.92 (d, J_7 , τ = 9.0 Hz, 1, H-7), 4.27 $(q, J = 6.9$ Hz, 2, $CH₂$, 1.39 (t, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 3, $CH₂$). EI-Mass spectrum (70 eV) m/s (rel intensity): 199 (40), 198 (12), 197 (100, M+), 171 (33), 169 (97), 134 (47), 79 (36). UV λ_{max} nm (E x 103, Lmol-1 cm-1): (EtOH) 360 (7.1), 247 (6.4), 220 (12.2). Calcd for C.H.CIN.O: C, 48.62; H, 4.08; N, 21.26. Found: C, 48.73; H, 4.06; N, 21.43.

2,9-Dichloropyridazo[2',3':1',2']imidazo[4',5':4,5]imidazo[1,2-b]- $\frac{\alpha}{12}$ and $\frac{\alpha}{24}$. To a suspension of 5a (10.0 q, 36 mmol) in 250 mL of 2.2.2trifluoroethanol (TFE) was added dropwise a solution of iodobenzene diacetate (17.0 g, 53 mmol) in 100 mL of TFE over a period of 2 h. After an additional 30 min, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the resulting residue was treated with 100 mL of hot EtDAc. The solid that resulted was collected by filtration, washed with an additional 50 mL of EtOAc, and dried to yield 5.9 g (59%) of 2a, mp > 250 °C. Recrystallization from ethanol provided analytically pure 2a. 1H-NMR ((CD₃)₂50): 6 8.47 (d₁, $V_+,$ ₃ = $V_7,$ ₉ = 9.6 Hz, 2, H-4 and 7), 7.66 (d, J_3 , $x = J_4$, $y = 9.6$ Hz, 2, H-3 and B). EI-Mass spectrum (70 eV) m/r (rel intensity): 282 (13), 280 (64), 278 (100, M+), 245 (16), 243 (52), 167 (16), 165 (57), 113 (27), 105 (23). UV Amer nm (6 x 10), Lmol-1cm-1); (EtOH) 401 (15.4), 382, (15.0), 321 (5.5), 308 (6.8), 288 (9.7), 278 (sh) (8.0), 260 (sh) (9.3), 238 (21.7), 222 (sh) (17.3). Fluorescence: X鼺, 425.5 nm, $\Sigma = 0.33$ (absolute ethanol). Calcd for C10H+N6C12: C, 43.04; H, 1.44; N, 30.11. Found: C, 43.31; H, 1.58; N, 29.84.

N-(3-pyridazinyl)imidazo[1,2-b]pyridazin-2-amine (5b) and 2-Ethoxyimidazoli,2-blayridazine (Ah). The procedure used to obtain 5a and 6a was followed to afford 5b (8%) and 6b (14%) from 3b.16

Compound She: mp > 250 °C. 1H-NMR ((CD₃)₂50) & 10.25 (s, 1, N-H), B.74 (d, $J = 4.2$ Hz, 1), 8.53 (s, 1, H-3), 8.43 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 1), 7.96 (d, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 1), 7.52 (m, 1), 7.41 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1), 7.20 (m, 1). EI-Mass spectrum (70 eV) m/s (rel intensity): 213 (12), 212 (100, M+), 184 (24), 183 (10), 158 (25), 157 (12). UV \max nm (6 x 10), Lmol-1 cm-1): (EtOH) 370 (11.7), 255 (18.5), 230 (br) (16.1), 220 (br) (15.0). Calcd for C₁₀H₀N₅: C, 56.60; H, 3.80; N, 39.60. Found: C, 56.34; H, 3.68; N, 39.57.

Campound 6b: mp 52-53 °C. 1H-NMR (CDCl₃) & 8.22 (d, J_6 , π 4.5 Hz, 1, $H-6$), 7.74 (d, J_{θ} , = 8.7 Hz, 1, H-8), 7.42 (s, 1, H-3), 6.95 (dd, $J_{7,6} = 4.5$ Hz, $\sqrt{7}$, $_8$ = 8.7 Hz, 1), 4.34 (q, $\sqrt{7}$ = 7.2 Hz, 2, CHg), 1.47 (t, $\sqrt{7}$ = 7.2 Hz, 3, CH_3). El-Mass spectrum (70 eV) m/r (rel intensity): 163 (71, M+), 135 (100), 80 (96), 79 (29), 57 (12), 53 (67), 52 (61). UV Amer nm (E x 103, Lmol⁻¹ cm⁻¹): (EtOH) 346 (7.0), 240 (9.6), 216 (17.2). Calcd for CBH, N3O: C, 58.89; H, 5.56; N, 25.75. Found: C, 59.00; H, 5.70; N, 25.54.

Pyridazo[2*,3*:1',2'limidazo[4',5':4,5]imidazo[1,2-b]pyridazine (2b).

Method A. The procedure used for the synthesis of 2a was used to obtain 65 mg of crude 2b starting from 150 mg of 5b. The crude material was purified using radial chromatography¹⁸ (CHCl₃-MeOH, 9:1) to give 62 mg (42%) of 2b.

Method B. Compound 2a (1.0 g, 3.6 mmol) and 5X Pd/CaCO3 (0.5 g) were suspended in 75 mL of a 2:1 solution of methyl cellosolve-DMF. The suspension was hydrogenated for 3 h at 40 psi. The resulting mixture was warmed on a steam bath and filtered hot through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness, and the residue was dissolved into 200 mL of ethanol. The volume was

reduced to 125 mL. When the concentrate was cooled, compound 2b crystallized from solution to give, after drying, 590 mg (78%) of a pale yellow solid, mp > 260 °C. 1H-NMR ((CD₃)₂ SO) 6 8.74 (dd, J_2 , $\sqrt{ }$ = J_3 , 7 = 1.2 Hz, J_2 , $\sqrt{ }$ = J_3 , $\sqrt{ }$ = 4.5 Hz, 2, H-2 and 9), B.37 (dd, $J_{4,2} = J_{7,9} = 1.2$ Hz, $J_{4,9} = J_{7,4} = 9.4$ Hz, 2, H-4 and 7), 7.50 (dd, J_3 , $_2$ = J_4 , $_3$ = 4.5 Hz, J_3 , $_4$ = J_6 , $_7$ = 9.4 Hz, 2, H-3 and 8). FT-IR (KBr) 3020, 1625, 1605, 1570, 1495, 1485, 1470, 1320, 1255, 1235, 1165, 1130, 875, 795, 780, 730, 625 cm⁻¹. El-Mass spectrum (70 eV) m/z (rel intensity): 211 (12), 210 (100, M+), 117 (11). UV λ_{max} nm ($\xi \times 10^{3}$, Lmol⁻¹Cm⁻¹): (EtOH) 387 (16.7), 372 (16.7), 314 (6.4), 302 (7.3), 278 (10.0), 262 (10.3), 252 (13.0) , 227 (22.2) . Fluorescence: $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{0.420.5}$ nm, $\frac{3}{2}$ = 0.63 (absolute ethanol). Calcd for CialkNa: C. 57.14: H. 2.88: N. 39.98. Found: C. 57.11: H. 2.91: N. $39.77.$

2,9-Dimethoxypyridazo[2",3":1',2'limidazo[4',5':4,5]imidazo[1,2-b]pyridazine (7). Sodium (4.6 mg, 2 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of MeOH and compound 2a (140 mg, 0.5 mmol) was then added. The mixture was heated at reflux for 2.5 h followed by cooling in an ice bath. The solid that separated was filtered, washed with water and methanol, and recrystallized from methanol to give 105 mg (78%) of 7, mp > 260 °C. 1H-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO) 6 8.13 (d, J_1 , J_2 , J_3 = 9.9 Hz, 2, H-4 and 7), 7.02 (d, J_3 ,, = J_6 , = 9.9 Hz, 2, H-3 and 8), 4.00 (s, 6, CH₃). EI-Mass spectrum (70 eV) m/x (rel intensity): 271 (15), 270 (100, M+), 255 (16). UV Nmax nm (E x 10³, Lmol⁻¹cm⁻¹): (EtOH) 385 (21.4), 367 (20.8), 310 (5.1), 296 (6.2), 284 (5.1), 226 (23.1), 220 (sh) (21.9). Fluorescence: 入盟, 416.5 nm, @ = 0.69 (absolute ethanol). Calcd for C12H10N6O2: C, 53.33; H, 3.73; N, 31.10. Found: C, 53.36; H, 3.69; N, 31.06.

2,9-Diazidopyridazo(2',3':1',2'limidazo(4',5':4,5limidazo[1,2-b]pyridazine (B). Compound 2a (1.4 g, 5 mmol) was heated with NaN3 (0.97 g, 15 mmol) in 50 mL of DMF at 125-130 °C for 2.5 h. The solution was then cooled in an ice bath. The precipitate that resulted was collected, washed with H₂D (2 x 25 mL) and MeOH (25 mL) to give 1.1 g of 8. H2O (50 mL) was added to the mother liquor to give an additional 50 mg for a total yield of 1.15 g (79%) of 8 that was pure enough to be used in the synthesis of 9, mp > 190 °C (dec). 1H-NMR ((CD₃)₂ SO) δ 8.44 (d, J_1) = J_2 , ϵ = 9.9 Hz, 2, H-4 and 7), 7.27 (d, J_3 , = J₈, = 9.9 Hz, 2, H-3 and B). FT-IR (KBr) 2145, 2120, 2090. EI-Mass spectrum (70 eV) m/z (rel intensity): 293 (14), 292 (100, M+), 270 (14), 182 (36), 128 (19) , 103 (13), 102 (82), 78 (35), 51 (26).

 $2.9 - 01$ aminopyridazo $2, 3, 1, 2, 2, 1$ imidazo $14, 5, 3, 5$ Jimidazo $1, 2 - 5$]pyridazine (9). Compound 8 (1.0 g, 3.4 mmol) and 5% Pd/C (0.3 g) were suspended in 100 mL of methyl cellosolve and treated with H₂ (50 psi) for 1.5 h. The mixture was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was evaporated to dry-The residue was suspended in 20 mL of MeDH and filtered. The resulting ness. solid was recrystallized from DMF-MeOH and dried to give 0.86 g (98%) of 9, mp > 260 °C. 1H-NMR ((CD3)360) & 7.88 (d, $J_{4,3} = J_{7,18} = 9.9$ Hz, 2, H-4 and 7), 683 (d, J_3 , $y = J_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ = 9.9 Hz, 2, H-3 and 8), 6.52 (s, 4, NH₂). El-Mass spectrum (70) eV) m/s (rel intensity): 241 (14), 242 (100, M*). High resolution EI-Mass spectrum: m/r found 240.0880 (CieHeNe) requires 240.0872. UV Amen nm (E x 103, $Lmol^{-1}cm^{-1}$): (EtOH) 394 (sh) (18.4), 380 (22.6), 318 (6.4), 304 (6.4), 276 (5.6), 242 (28.2), 230 (sh) (26.0). Fluorescence: $\lambda_{n=1}^{69}$ 449.5 nm, 470.5 nm $\Phi =$ 0.19 (absolute ethanol). Calcd for CioHoNo.1.0 H2O: C, 46.51; H, 3.90; N, 43.39. Found: C, 47.25; H, 3.39; N, 43.28.

3156

2.9-Dihydrazinopyridazo[2",3":1',2']imidazo[4',5";4,5]imidazo[1,2-b]pyridating (10). Compound 2a (0.2 g, 0.7 mmol) was treated with anhydrous hydrazine (2 mL) for 20 h at room temperature. The precipitate was collected, washed with water and methanol, recrystallized from DMSO-H₂O, and dried to give 0.18 g (92%) of 10, mp > 260 °C. 1H-NMR ((CD₃)₂50) 6 8.02 (s, 2, NH), 7.82 (d, $J_{4,1} = J_7$, $_8 = 9.9$ Hz, 2, H-4 and 7), 6.40 (d, $J_{1,4} = J_8$, $_7 = 9.9$ Hz, 2, H-3 and 8), 4.29 (s, 4, NH₂). El-Mass spectrum (70 eV) m/z (rel intensity): 271 (14), 270 (100, M+), 255 (29), 240 (39), 225 (15), 120 (13), 79 (11). High resolution El-Mass spectrum: m/r found 270.1089 (C10H10N10) requires 270.1090. UV Namax nm (E x 103, Lmol⁻¹cm⁻¹): (EtDH) 394 (sh) (16.9), 382 (18.0), 318 (6.1), 306 (6.1), 280 (6.8), 236 (22.7). Fluorescence: 入盟, 428.0 nm, 463.0 nm ē = 0.06 (absolute ethanol). Calcd for C10H10N10.1.0 H2O: C, 41.67; H, 3.80; N, 48.59. Found: C, 41.91; H, 3.80; N, 48.94.

 $2,9$ -Dibenzyloxypyridazo(2°,3':1',2']imidazo(4',3';4,5]imidazo(1,2-b]pyridazine (11). Sodium (0.7 g, 30 mmol) was dissolved in 25 mL of benzyl alcohol. The solution was then heated to 80 °C and compound 2a (2.0 g, 7.2 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated at 80 °C for an additional 3 h and then cooled in an ice bath. The solid that separated was filtered and washed with 10 mL of 50% aqueous EtOH followed by 10 mL of H2O. The dried compound was recrystallized from CHCl3-hexane to yield 2.4 g (79%) of 11, mp > 260 °C. 1H-NMR (CDC13) δ 8.03 (d, J_1 , $_2$ = J_1 , $_4$ = 9.8 Hz, 2, H-4 and 7), 7.64 and 7.41 (m, 10, phenyl), 6.95 (d, J_2 ,, = J_4 ,, = 9.8 Hz, 2, H-3 and 8), 5.56 (s, 4, CH₂). EI-Mass spectrum (70 eV) m/z (rel intensity): 423 (19), 422 (71, M+), 91 (100). UV \mex nm (6 x 10), Lmol-1 cm -1): (EtOH) 389 (23.9), 371 (23.7), 312 (7.0), 298 (7.5), 270 (6.9), 238 (33.3), 206 (42.7). Calcd for C_{2*}H₁+N₆O₂: C, 68.24) H, 4.29; N, 19.89. Found: C, 68.30; H, 4.33; N, 20.06.

2,9-Dihydroxypyridazo[2",3":1',2']imidazo[4',5';4,5]imidazo[1,2-b]pyridazine (12). Compound 11 (0.5 g, 1.2 mmol) was added to 10 mL of 48% HBr and the mixture was heated at reflux for 40 min. The resulting solution was cooled in an ice bath. The white solid that precipitated was collected by filtration and washed with 10 mL of ether. The solid was stirred with 10 mL of a saturated aqueous NaHCO3 solution for 15 min. The pale green solid that separated was collected by filtration, washed with water, and suspended in hot ethanol. The insoluble material was collected by filtration and dried to afford 185 mg (64%) of 12, mp > 260 °C. 1H-NMR ((CD₃)₃ SD)t δ 7.61 (d, J ¤ 9.9 Hz, 2), 6.53 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 2). FT-IR (KBr) 3420, 1610, 1590, 1550, 1435, 1265, 820 cm-1. El-Mass spectrum (70 eV) m/s (rel intensity): 244 (17), 243 (13), 242 (100, M+). High resolution EI-Mass spectrum: m/r found 242.0554 (CieH6N6O2) requires 242.0552. UV Amax nm: (EtOH) 400 (sh), 378, 320, 275, 234. Fluorescence: $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{CR}}$ 439.0 nm, 465.0 nm Φ = 0.06 (absolute ethanol). Calcd for C10H6N6O2: C, 49.59; H, 2.50. Found: C, 49.79; H, 2.55.

Preparation of Cobalt(II) Complexes. General Procedure. A solution of cobalt(II) chloride hexahydrate (0.12 mmol in 4 mL of EtOH) was added to a hot solution of the heterocycle (0.24 mmol in 50 mL of EtOH). The resulting mixture was heated at reflux for an additional 5 min and allowed to stand overnight. The blue precipitate was collected by filtration and dried under vacuum at 110 °C for 72 h.

Bis(gyridezof2",3":1',2'limidezof4',5':4,5limidazo[1,2-b]pyridazing) cobalt(II) Chloride. 44% yield, mp > 260 °C. Calcd for C20H12N12Cl2Co: C, 43.66; H, 2.20; N, 30.55; Cl, 12.89; Co, 10.71. Found: C, 43.61; H, 2.22; **N, 30.54; Cl, 13.12; co, 10.50.** .
Bis(byr**idoil",2":l',2'limidazo**[4',3' **:4,5limidazo**[1,2-alpyrid Chloride. 48% yield, mp > 260 °C. Calcd for C₃+H₁₆N6Cl₂Co.0,5 H₂O: C, 51.91; H, 3.09; N, 20.18; Cl, 12.77; Co, 10.61. Found: C, 52.17; H, 2.99; N, 20.05; **Cl, 12.&41 Co, 10.64.** Acknowledgment. This research was supported by Research Grant CHE-81-21796 **from the National Science Foundation and in part by an unrestricted grant from** Eli Lilly and Company. NMR data were obtained on a QE-300 spectrometer supported by grant NIH PHS 1532135. The single crystal X-ray structure determina**tion was performed by Scott R. Wilson and his staff at the University oi Illinois.** REFERENCEB AND NOTES 1K. A. Cruickshank, K. Sumoto, and N. J. Leonard, Tetrahedron Lett. 26, 2723 **(19851. SM. P. Groriak, S. R. Wilson, 6. L. C1ausonr and N. J. Leonard, J. Am. Chem. Ss. 108, 8002 (1986). PD. E. Pereira, G. L. Clauson, and N. J. Leonard, Tetrahedron, in press. 'We have used this name for comparatively easy visual description. The** IUPAC/CA name is pyrido[1",2":1',2'limidazo[4',5':4,5limidazo[1,2-al**pyridine (courtesy of Dr. Kurt L. Loening, Director of Nomenclature, E).** fThe IUPAC/CA name is pyridazo(2",3":1',2'limidazo(4',5':4,51imidazo(1,2-blpyridazine. **GF.** BlW, **Honatsh. 19, 647 (1898). 7G. l-i. Walden, L. P. Hammett, and R. P. Chapman, J. &n. Chem. Sot. 55, 2A49 (1933). 'W. w. Brandt, F. P. Dwyer, and E. C. Gyrt-fas, Chem. Rev. 54, 959 (19541. 'E. D. McKenzie, Coord. Chcm. Rev. C, 187 (1971).** 10J. C. Barton, A. T. Danishefsky, and J. M. Goldberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, **2172 (19841. IlF. Yoncda, T.** Ohtaka, **and V. Nitta, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 12, 1351** (1964). 12M. Tifler and B. Stanovnik in Hetrocyclic Chemistry, Volume 27, **801-818 (Edited by R.N. Castle), John Wiley and Sons, N. V, (19731 and references therein. 13The atomic coordinates from this work are available on request from the Director of the Cambridge Crystallographic Dat& Centre, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfiekd Road,** Cambridge **CB2 IEW. Any request should be accompanied by the full literature citation for this communication. I'MMPMI version 2.0 of the combination of N. L, Clllinqer's MM2 force field, R. D. Brown's VESCF MMPl n calculation, and C. Still's MODEL parameters: Serena Software, 80x 3076, Bloomington, IN 47402. Modified with additional atoms** and **parameters from MM2/MtlP2-1985 (Allinger) at the University of Illinois. ISJ. Druey, K. Meier, K. Eichenberger, Helv. Chim.** ACta. 37, 121 (19%). **I'E. F\. Stock, J. Am. Chem. Sot. 76, 3225 (1954). I'J. Olmrted, III, J, Phvs. Chem. 83, 2581** (1979). 1*Chromatotron, Harrison Research, Inc., Palo Alto, CA.